

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 11 Community Facilities

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<p>SD – SD/PF/CF/1</p> <p>UDP – Policy CF1 New School Sites</p> <p>IR – Policy Framework Paragraphs 11.1- 11.2, page 161</p>	<p>I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP</p>	<p>Decision : Accepted</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report</p>	N/A
<p>SD - SD/PF/CF/2</p> <p>UDP – Policy CF2 Education contributions in New Residential Development</p> <p>IR – Policy Framework Paragraphs 11.3-11.6, pages 161-162</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">POLICY CF2 – delete and replace with</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">WHERE NEW HOUSING PROPOSALS WOULD RESULT IN AN INCREASED DEMAND FOR EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES WHICH CANNOT BE MET BY EXISTING SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES, THE COUNCIL WILL SEEK TO ENTER INTO A PLANNING OBLIGATION WITH THE DEVELOPER UNDER SECTION 106 OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990, IN ORDER TO SECURE THE PROVISION OF, OR A CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS, NEW OR EXTENDED FACILITIES.</p>	<p>Decision : Accepted</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report</p>	Mod/P F/CF/1

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 11 Community Facilities

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<p>SD - SD/PF/CF/3</p> <p>UDP - Paragraph 11.11</p> <p>IR – Policy Framework, Paragraphs 11.7-11.8, pages 162-163</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified by the deletion of Paragraph 11.11.</p>	<p>Decision : Accepted in part</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report. Add minor new text referring to the existing hospital sites which are shown on the proposals Map.</p>	Mod/P F/CF/2
<p>SD - SD/PF/CF/4</p> <p>UDP – Policy CF6 Development of Unallocated Land in Community Priority Areas</p> <p>IR – Policy Framework Paragraphs 11.9-11.12, page 163</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">POLICY CF6 – delete the first section and replace with</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">IN THE COMMUNITY PRIORITY AREAS, DEFINED ON THE PROPOSALS MAP, PLANNING PERMISSION FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF OPEN SPACE OR OTHER LAND IN COMMUNITY USE WILL BE GRANTED PROVIDED THERE IS ADEQUATE PROVISION OF SUCH LAND</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">AND</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">PRIORITY IS GIVEN TO THE FOLLOWING USES</p>	<p>Decision : Accepted</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report.</p>	Mod/P F/CF/4
<p>SD - SD/PF/CF/5</p> <p>UDP - Policy CF7 Change of Use of Buildings in Community Priority Areas</p>	<p>I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">POLICY CF7 – delete the first section and replace with</p>	<p>Decision : Accepted.</p> <p>Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report.</p>	Mod/P F/CF/5

INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS
UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 11 Community Facilities

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector’s Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
IR – Policy Framework, paragraphs 11.13-11.15, Page164	IN THE COMMUNITY PRIORITY AREAS DEFINED ON THE PROPOSALS MAP PLANNING PERMISSION FOR THE CHANGE OF USE OF BUILDINGS WILL BE GRANTED PROVIDED THAT THE PROPOSAL DOES NOT PLACE EXTRA BURDENS ON THE COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE THAT CANNOT BE OVERCOME BY PLANNING CONDITIONS OR OBLIGATIONS, OR PROVIDED THAT PRIORITY IS GIVEN TO THE FOLLOWING USES (IN ORDER)...		
SD - SD/PF/CF/6 UDP – POM 52 New Prison Provision IR – Policy Framework paragraphs 11.16-11.18, Page 164	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accepted Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector’s report.	N/A